IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

WRIT PETITION NO. 293 OF 2005

SHRI CHANDRASHEKHAR PARAB AND ANR., ...Petitioners

Versus

THE DIRECTOR OF EDUCATION AND 2 ORS., ...Respondents

Mr. M. S. Sonak, advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. S. S. Kantak, Advocate General with Mr. S. Vahidulla, Additional Government Advocate for the respondents no.1 and 3.

Coram:- R. M. LODHA &
N. A. BRITTO, JJ.

Date:- 30th August, 2005

P.C.

Heard Mr. M. S. Sonak, learned counsel for the petitioners and Mr. S. Vahidulla, Additional Government Advocate for respondents nos. 1 and 3.

We are not inclined to admit the Writ Petition as, in our view, this Writ Petition can be treated as a representation to the Director of Education who after hearing the petitioners and respondent no.2 shall pass appropriate order in accordance with law. We accordingly dispose of this Writ Petition by the following Order:-

(i) The present Writ Petition shall be treated as a representation by the petitioners to the respondent no.1, namely the Director of Education, Government of Goa.

(ii) The respondent no.1 shall consider the representation and if prima facie some merit is found in the grievance of the petitioners, he would issue notice to respondent no.2 on the specific points of irregularity and illegality.

(iii) It would be open to respondent no.2 to respond to the said notice that may be issued by the Director of Education by filing written reply.

(iv) The Director of Education shall then hear the petitioners and the respondent no.2 and pass appropriate order in accordance with law.

Needless to say that the petitioners shall be at liberty to furnish additional material in suport of their grievance within two weeks from today with the Director of Education.

R. M. LODHA, J.

N. A. BRITTO, J.